Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 174 (1979) C59–C62 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

**Preliminary communication** 

## RHODIUM(I) CATALYZED DECOMPOSITION OF FORMIC ACID

S.H. STRAUSS, K.H. WHITMIRE and D.F. SHRIVER

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201 (U.S.A.) (Received February 2nd, 1979)

## Summary

Rh  $(C_6H_4PPh_2)(PPh_3)_2$  catalyzes the decomposition of formic acid to CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>. The initial step is the oxidative addition of formic acid to produce the intermediate Rh $(HCO_2)(PPh_3)_3$ , which probably is followed by  $\beta$ -hydride elimination, to produce CO<sub>2</sub> and RhH $(PPh_3)_3$ . The latter reacts with formic acid to produce H<sub>2</sub> and to reform Rh $(HCO_2)(PPh_3)_3$ .

During the course of an investigation of the reactions of hydroxyl-containing organic substrates with rhodium (I) complexes [1], it was discovered that formic acid cleaves the rhodium— $\sigma$ -carbon bond of Rh(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (I, abbreviated Rh(P-C)P<sub>2</sub>) [2] and is catalytically decomposed to carbon dioxide and



hydrogen by some subsequently formed rhodium complex in solution. These results are presented here because they bear directly on two areas of organometallic chemistry of current interest, carbon dioxide fixation [3] and the water—gas shift reaction [4].

The reaction of Rh (P–C)P<sub>2</sub> in toluene (0.034 M) with formic acid (1.6 M) at 20°C was monitored in a closed volume connected to a manometer. Gases slowly evolved from the dark amber solution at a rate of  $1.2 \times 10^{-6} M s^{-1}$  (this roughly corresponds to two equivalents of gas per equivalent of rhodium per day). The gas was found to contain a non-condensable (at -196°C) component that was identified as hydrogen by mass spectrometry and a condensable com-

ponent that was identified as carbon dioxide by its IR spectrum. Within experimental error,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  are present in equimolar amounts in the evolved gas.

The toluene and remaining formic acid were removed rapidly, under vacuum, from the reaction mixture after seven equivalents of gas (based on rhodium) had been evolved. The solution darkened appreciably as soon as the evolved gases were removed from the closed system. The dark orange residue exhibited. IR bands, among others, at 2145w, 1965m, and  $1615m(br) cm^{-1}$ . When the dark orange residue was redissolved in toluene, a mixture of condensable and non-condensable gases again was evolved.

The decomposition of formic acid to carbon dioxide and hydrogen (reaction 1) accounts for the observations described above. The seven equivalents of gas

$$\text{HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \qquad \qquad \Delta G^0 = -7.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \tag{1}$$

require that 3.5 equivalents of formic acid had decomposed. Thus, the reaction is catalyzed by some rhodium compound in solution. A plot of moles of gas evolved vs. time is linear from 400 min to 2800 min, implying that the catalytic activity was constant over this period and that many more than 3.5 equivalents of formic acid could be decomposed.

The activity of  $Rh(P-C)P_2$  as a formic acid decomposition catalyst is compared to other Group VIII catalysts in Table 1. The stoichiometric decomposition of formic acid by certain platinum metal compounds produces (1) metal

		IC ACID TO HYDROGEN AND CARBON DIOXIDE         Solvent (conc.       Rate <sup>a</sup> Ref.         of $HCOOH$ AD       ( $M$ cos <sup>-1</sup> )		
Catalyst (conc., mM)	Temp. (°C)	Solvent (conc. of HCOOH, M)	Rate <sup><math>a</math></sup> ( $M \sec^{-1}$ )	Ref.
Rh(P—C)P <sub>2</sub> (34)	20	toluene (1.6)	6.0 × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	ç
Pd(HCO <sub>2</sub> ), (0.5)	112	acetic acid (1.2)	7.2 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	5
PtCl <sub>2</sub> (PBu <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (6.1)	118	acetic acid (0.75)	5.6 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	6
IrH <sub>2</sub> ClP <sub>3</sub> (6.2)	118	acetic acid (0.75)	2.1 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	7
Pt(P-i-Pr <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (6.4)	20	acetone/water. 15/1 (0.41)	2.9 × 10 <sup>-+</sup>	7

<sup>a</sup>Rate = -d[HCOOH]/dt. <sup>b</sup>Lithium acetate (2 M), copper(II) acetate (0.3 M), and oxygen (40 psig) are necessary components in this catalytic reaction. <sup>C</sup> This work.

hydrides plus carbon dioxide [8,9] (e.g., reaction 2), (2) metal formates [9,10](e.g., reaction 3), and (3) metal carbonyl complexes [11] (reaction 4). The IR band at 1615  $\rm cm^{-1}$  exhibited by the dark orange residue (see above) can be cis-PtCl<sub>2</sub>(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + HCOOH  $\rightarrow$  trans-PtHCl(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> + HCl + CO<sub>2</sub> (2) $Os(CO)_3P_2 + 2HCOOH \rightarrow Os(HCO_2)_2(CO)_2P_2 + H_2$ (3) $\operatorname{RuCl_4^{2-}}$  + HCOOH  $\rightarrow$  Ru(CO)(H<sub>2</sub>O)Cl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (4)

TABLE 1

attributed to a monodentate formate ligand (cf. 1630 cm<sup>-1</sup> for  $Os(HCO_2)_2(CO)_2P_2$ [9] and 1615 cm<sup>-1</sup> for  $Ru(HCO_2)_2(CO)_2P_2$  [10]). The complex  $Rh(HCO_2)P_3$ probably forms as shown in reaction 5. The assignment of the formate ligand as

$$\frac{Rh(P-C)P_2 + HCOOH \rightarrow P_3RhO}{H}C=0$$
(5)

monodentate is reasonable since Rh(PhCO<sub>2</sub>)P<sub>3</sub>, which has been shown to contain a monodentate benzoate ligand by a single crystal X-ray study [12], exhibits a strong IR band at 1608 cm<sup>-1</sup> [12]. It is significant that the triphosphine benzoate complex is formed by the insertion of carbon dioxide into a rhodium carbon bond (reaction 6) [12]. Carbon dioxide formation from the Rh(HCO<sub>2</sub>)P<sub>3</sub> intermediate probably occurs by a reaction analogous to the reverse of reaction 6:

$$P_{3}RhPh \xrightarrow{CO_{2}(20 \text{ atm})} P_{3}RhO = 0$$

$$P_{3}RhO = 0$$

$$P_{3}RhO = 0$$

$$P_{3}RhO = 0$$

$$P_{4}RhO = 0$$

$$P_{5}RhO = 0$$

the  $\beta$ -elimination of a hydrogen atom from a monodentate formate ligand to the metal center (reaction 7). This mechanism has been proposed for a number of transition metal systems [13]. The IR band at 1965 cm<sup>-1</sup> exhibited by the dark orange residue is probably due to coordinated carbon monoxide, although

$$P_{3}RhO = 0 \qquad \qquad \left[ \begin{array}{c} P_{3}Rh \\ H \end{array} \right] = 0 \qquad \qquad P_{3}RhH + CO_{2} \qquad (7)$$

there cannot be appreciable amounts of this gas in the non-condensable component of the evolved gases. The band at 2145 cm<sup>-1</sup> may be due to  $\nu$ (Rh—H) of RhHP<sub>4</sub> (lit. [14] 2140 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The amount of this compound or of the carbonyl compound present in the dark orange residue, relative to the proposed Rh(HCO<sub>2</sub>)P<sub>3</sub> intermediate, cannot be realistically estimated with the present data.

The production of hydrogen and the requirement of a closed catalytic cycle imply that formic acid reacts with  $RhHP_3$  as shown in reaction 8. Carboxylic

$$RhHP_{3} + HCOOH \rightarrow P_{3}RhO + H_{2}$$

$$C=0$$

$$H$$

$$(8)$$

acids in general are known to cleave Group VIII metal—hydrogen bonds [15]. Note that the isolation of the formate complex implies that, for this system, the rate-determining step for the decomposition of formic acid is  $\beta$ -elimination. This is interesting because  $\beta$ -elimination from other RhXP<sub>3</sub> complexes (X = alkyl [16], alkoxide [1], or amide [17]) is very fast.

## Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the NSF through Grant CHE 77-18747 A01.

## References

- 1 S.H. Strauss, Ph.D. Dissertation, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, June, 1979.
- 2 W. Keim, J. Organometal. Chem., 14 (1968) 179.
- 3 (a) P. Haynes, L.H. Slaugh and J.F. Kohnle, Tetrahedron Lett., (1970) 365; (b) B. Jesowska-Trzebiatowska and P. Sobota, J. Organometal. Chem., 76 (1974) 43; (c) Y. Inoue, Y. Sasaki and H. Hashimoto, Chem. Commun., (1975) 718; (d) T. Herskovitz and L.J. Guggenberger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 1615; (e) Y. Inoue, H. Izumida, Y. Sasaki and H. Hashimoto, Chem. Lett., (1976) 863; (f) H. Koinuma, H. Kato and H. Hirai, Chem. Lett., (1977) 517.
- 4 (a) R.M. Laine, R.G. Rinker and P.C. Ford, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 252; (b) H.-C. Kang, C.H. Mauldin, T. Cole, W. Slegeir, K. Cann and R. Pettit, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 8323; (c) R.B. King, C.C. Frazier, R.M. Hanes and A.D. King, Jr., ibid., 100 (1978) 2925; (d) T. Yoshida, Y. Ueda and S. Otsuka, ibid., 100 (1978) 3942; (e) P.C. Ford, R.G. Rinker, C. Ungermann, R.M. Laine, V. Landis and S.A. Maya, ibid., 100 (1978) 4596.
- 5 A. Aguilo, J. Catal., 13 (1969) 283.
- 6 R.S. Coffey, Chem. Commun., (1967) 923.
  7 T. Yoshida, Y. Ueda and S. Otsuka, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 3941.
- 8 J. Chatt and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., (1962) 5075.
- 9 K.R. Laing and W.R. Roper, J. Chem. Soc. (A), (1969) 1889.
- 10 B.F.G. Johnson, R.D. Johnston, J. Lewis and I.B. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. (A), (1971) 689.
- 11 J. Halpern and A.L.W. Kemp, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 5147.
- 12 I.S. Kolomnikov, A.O. Gusev, T.S. Belopotapova, M.Kh. Grigoryan, T.V. Lysyak, Yu.T. Struchkov and M.E. Volpin, J. Organometal. Chem., 69 (1974) C10.
- 13 M.E. Volpin and I.S. Kolomnikov, Organometal. Reactions, 5 (1975) 313.
- 14 K.C. Dewhirst, W. Keim and C.A. Reilly, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 546.
- 15 S.D. Robinson and M.F. Uttley, J. Chem. Soc. (Dalton), (1973) 1912 and ref. therein.
- 16 S.H. Strauss and D.F. Shriver, Inorg. Chem., 17 (1978) 3069.
- 17 S.E. Diamond and F. Mares, J. Organometal. Chem., 142 (1977) C55.